



1 Workbook

Planning for an Assessment & Collecting Data

KRISTIN NELSON

LAUREL MACLAREN

ROBERT MAGNANI

January 2000

FOCUS on Young Adults

© FOCUS on Young Adults, 2000

This publication was authored by Kristin Nelson, Laurel MacLaren and Robert Magnani of the FOCUS on Young Adults program.

Any part of this publication may be copied, reproduced, distributed or adapted without permission from the author or publisher, provided the recipient of the materials does not copy, reproduce, distribute or adapt material for commercial gain and provided that the authors and FOCUS on Young Adults are credited as the source of such information on all copies, reproductions, distributions and adaptations of the material.

The FOCUS on Young Adults program promotes the well-being and reproductive health of young people. FOCUS is a program of Pathfinder International in partnership with The Futures Group International and Tulane University School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine. FOCUS is funded by USAID, Cooperative Agreement # CCP-A-00-96-90002-00. The opinions expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Agency for International Development.

This and all FOCUS publications are available for you to download off the Internet through the FOCUS Web site, <www.pathfind.org/focus.htm>.

Please send suggestions or comments to:

FOCUS on Young Adults

Attn: Communications Advisor

1201 Connecticut Avenue NW Suite 501

Washington, DC 20036 U.S.A.

Tel: 202-835-0818 Fax: 202-835-0282

Email <focus@pathfind.org>

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Kristin Nelson is a PhD candidate at Tulane University and has a Master's degree in Medical Anthropology from Case Western University. She has conducted extensive review of qualitative and quantitative instruments and youth programs for FOCUS on Young Adults. She lived and worked in Tanzania for 2 years.

Laurel MacLaren managed an adolescent sexual health program of the Indonesia Planned Parenthood Association (IPPA) in Yogyakarta. She oversaw the development of IPPA's contraceptive and STI services for unmarried youth, which emphasized providing affordable, accessible services to marginalized youth. She has a Master's in Public Policy from Harvard University and is currently the Communications Coordinator at FOCUS on Young Adults.

Robert J. Magnani, PhD, is currently an Associate Professor in the Department of International Health and Development of the Tulane School of Public Health and Tropical Medicine. Current activities and research interests include improving methodologies and data collection strategies for public health program monitoring and evaluation, and measuring the effectiveness of adolescent reproductive health interventions. He has worked in the international population and health fields in the areas of data collection systems and methodology, program/project monitoring and evaluation, and information systems support to program management and policy decision making. He has worked in 27 developing countries in all regions of the world, with specialization in East/Southeast Asia and (recently) Latin America.



Contents

Foreword	vii
Acknowledgments	ix
Abbreviations	xi
1. Planning for an Assessment of Youth-Friendly Services	1
What is the need for youth-friendly services?	1
What is the purpose of this tool?	2
What reproductive health services can be assessed using this tool?	2
What kind of health facilities can be assessed using this tool?	3
What are the characteristics of youth-friendly services?	3
How is this tool organized?	4
Workbook 1: Planning for an Assessment and Collecting Data	6
Workbook 2: Data Collection Tools and Instruments	9
Workbook 3: Score Sheets	10
Worksheet 4: Planning Worksheets	11
How can this tool be used to monitor changes in youth friendliness over time?	11
What if my organization has more than one facility; how can I assess them all?	11
What if I don't have enough time or resources to do a complete assessment?	12
2. Specifying the Scope of the Assessment	15
Worksheet A: What Services is the Facility Providing?	15
Worksheet B: What Youth Population Is the Facility Trying to Serve?	17
3. Collecting Data on Youth-Friendly Service Indicators	19
Collecting Information from Facility Records	19
Method A: Review of Facility Records	19
Worksheet C: Comparing Service Statistics	20
Method B: Review of Facility Records	21
Characteristic: Are the administrative procedures youth friendly?	21
Collecting Information from Facility Managers	22
Method A: In-depth Interview with Manager	22
Characteristic: Is the facility, itself, youth friendly?	22
Characteristic: Are providers and staff youth friendly?	23
Characteristic: Are the administrative procedures youth friendly?	23
Characteristic: Are there any psychosocial barriers to prevent youth from seeking services?	25
Method B: Inventory of Services with Manager	25
Characteristic: Are the administrative procedures youth friendly?	26
Collecting Information from Service Providers and Other Staff	27
Method A: Focus Groups with Providers and Staff	27



Characteristic: Is the facility, itself, youth friendly?	27
Characteristic: Are the administrative procedures youth friendly?	27
Method B: In-depth Interviews with Providers and Staff	28
Characteristic: Is the facility, itself, youth friendly?	28
Characteristic: Are providers and staff youth friendly?	29
Characteristic: Are the administrative procedures youth friendly?	30
Collecting Information from Youth Who Have Been to the Facility	31
Method A: Mystery Clients or Client Exit Interviews	31
Characteristic: Is the facility, itself, youth friendly?	31
Characteristic: Are providers and staff youth friendly?	32
Characteristic: Are the administrative procedures youth friendly?	33
Method B: Focus group discussions with Youth Who Have Been to the Facility	34
Characteristic: Is the facility, itself, youth friendly?	34
Characteristic: Are the providers and staff youth friendly?	35
Characteristic: Are the administrative procedures youth friendly?	35
Collecting Information from Youth Who Have Not Been to the Facility	36
Method A: Focus group discussions with Youth Who Have Not Been to the Facility	36
Characteristic: Is the facility, itself, youth friendly?	36
Characteristic: Are providers and staff youth friendly?	37
Characteristic: Are the administrative procedures youth friendly?	37
Characteristic: Are there any psychosocial barriers to prevent youth from seeking services?	38
Collecting Information from Key Adults from the Community	40
Method: Focus group discussions with Key Adults Informants	40
Characteristic: Are there any psychosocial barriers to prevent youth from seeking services?	40
Characteristic: Are the administrative procedures youth friendly?	40
4. Illustrative Examples of Assessments	43
Example 1: Family Planning Council of Zimbabwe	43
The Assessment	43
Synthesis of Results	45
Action Plan	45
Example 2: <i>Apotik</i> Pharmacy	46
The Assessment	46
Synthesis of Results	47
Action Plan	47
5. Data Collection Methodologies	49
How to Conduct a Focus Group Discussion	49
What is a focus group discussion?	49
How many people should participate in each focus group?	49
How many FGDs should be held, and how long do they last?	49
Where should FGDs be conducted?	50
What type of youth should participate in focus groups?	50
What type of adults should participate in focus groups?	50
How should a focus group be facilitated?	50
What should be discussed during a focus group?	51
How will the focus group discussion be recorded?	51
How should focus group data be analyzed?	52
More resources on Focus Group Discussion:	52

How to Conduct an Individual In-depth Interview	52
What is an individual in-depth interview?	52
Participant Group: Females, Ages 15-19 Years	52
Where should the interview take place?	53
Who should be interviewed?	53
What will be discussed during an interview?	53
How should the interview be conducted?	53
How should the interview be recorded?	54
More resources on In-depth Interviews:	54
How to Use the Mystery Client Methodology	55
What is a mystery client?	55
What are the steps in using the mystery client methodology?	55
What scenarios might be used for mystery clients?	56
How should mystery clients be debriefed?	58
How should mystery client data be analyzed?	58
Glossary	59
Table 1: Characteristics of Youth-friendly Services	5
Table 2: Sources of Information for Data Collected on Each Indicator	8
Table 3: Sample Assessment Plan	13
Table 4: Sample Assessment Plan	44
Table 5: Sample Assessment Plan	46
Participant Group: Females, Age 15-19 Years	52





Foreword

A variety of strategies are required to ensure the reproductive health of youth. Young people need to be aware of health issues, develop the knowledge and skills to enact healthy practices, and access health services when the need arises. In many settings, reproductive health services such as counseling, contraceptives, maternal care and nutrition are not widely accessible to young people, partly because they were established to serve adults. Young people may not be aware of the services, or they may feel shy or embarrassed to be seen in a health facility. Given the limited resources available in many countries, existing health services and facilities need to become more “youth friendly.”

This tool was developed in response to the increasing demand on the part of health systems, facilities, and communities to improve the access and quality of reproductive health services for youth. It was designed to help program managers assess the extent to which their facility is already reaching youth, and what characteristics of their program discourage young people from accessing services. Because young people’s experiences and preferences vary greatly, this tool will also help to prioritize services and programs and tailor them to local needs.

FOCUS on Young Adults is pleased to present this Guide as part of our Research and Evaluation Tools Series. We greatly appreciate the efforts of the authors and our partners in Zambia and Cambodia in developing this assessment approach and helping to ensure that it is applicable to those working in health service delivery in developing countries.

Dr. Katherine Bond
Research and Evaluation Advisor
Tulane University / FOCUS on Young Adults
November 1999





Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to the people who provided comments and feedback during the review of this tool.

Ellen Eiseman (Pathfinder International), Sarah Harbison (USAID), Jim Heiby (USAID), Rebecca Kohler (INTRAH), Andrew Levack (AVSC International), Judy Senderowitz, Christine Stevens (FOCUS on Young Adults), Lindsay Stewart (FOCUS on Young Adults), Wayne Stintson (University Research Corporation), and Tijuana James-Traore (FOCUS on Young Adults) read and provided feedback on drafts of this tool.

Katherine Bond (FOCUS on Young Adults) worked with Dr. Var Chivorn and the staff of the Reproductive Health Association of Cambodia (RHAC) and used this tool to develop a framework and instruments for assessing youth-friendly services in Cambodia. Their insights and feedback shaped the development of this tool.

Dr. Musonda Lemba and Paul Chishimba (M. Lemba and Associates), Mary Simasiku and Tamara Fetters (CARE/Zambia), and Dr. Sarai Boulani Malumo and Josephine Sipatonyana (Lusaka District Health Management Board) reviewed this tool for its application in Zambia and provided feedback that helped strengthen its organization and content.

Laura Sedlock conducted a developmental edit and helped ensure that the material presented is clear and understandable. Finally, we appreciate the logistical support provided by Christine Stevens of FOCUS, particularly in regards to the review and production of this tool.

Copyediting of this tool was provided by Publications Professionals, LLC.



COLE
SAN FELIPE

COLE
SAN FELIPE

ORGANOS MASCULINOS

PARTES

1. Penes
2. Vagina
3. Utrículo
4. Glándula de Cowper
5. Glándula de Prostata
6. Glándula de Testículo
7. Epidídimo
8. Vas deferente
9. Vesícula Seminal
10. Utrículo
11. Glándula de Testículo
12. Epidídimo
13. Vas deferente
14. Vesícula Seminal

Abbreviations

F G D	focus group discussion
I E C	information, education and communication
N G O	nongovernmental organization
R H	reproductive health
STI	sexually transmitted infection

